

Reviewer Report

Title: Genomic diversity affects the accuracy of bacterial SNP calling pipelines

Version: Original Submission **Date:** 9/29/2019

Reviewer name: C. Titus Brown

Reviewer Comments to Author:

In this paper, Bush et al. evaluate a large number of bacterial SNP calling pipelines against variously divergent references. Their main conclusion is that different pipelines perform very differently as the reference diverges, and that Jaccard similarity is a good way to choose the "best" (closest) reference for mapping.

This paper is full of nice figures and analyses, and moreover we have seen the same thing in our work, so I agreed with the major points of the paper in advance!

The only real weakness I see in the paper is that the authors use simulated data, which comes with many advantages but also means that oddball sequencer mistakes are not necessarily measured. This is an acceptable tradeoff to me, but I wanted to mention it...

I think the general conclusion that Jaccard similarity (or, really, ANI) is the best way to choose reference genomes is both important and indisputable, so it's nice to see a thorough evaluation of it.

I encourage the authors to make their evaluation code, scripts, notebooks, figure generation, etc. available. I could not seem to find it. Reproducibility is minimal but acceptable given Supp Text 1.

Methods

Are the methods appropriate to the aims of the study, are they well described, and are necessary controls included? Choose an item.

Conclusions

Are the conclusions adequately supported by the data shown? Choose an item.

Reporting Standards

Does the manuscript adhere to the journal's guidelines on [minimum standards of reporting?](#) Choose an item.

Choose an item.

Statistics

Are you able to assess all statistics in the manuscript, including the appropriateness of statistical tests used? Choose an item.

Quality of Written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript: Choose an item.

Declaration of Competing Interests

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

- Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Do you have any other financial competing interests?
- Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

Choose an item.

To further support our reviewers, we have joined with Publons, where you can gain additional credit to further highlight your hard work (see: <https://publons.com/journal/530/gigascience>). On publication of this paper, your review will be automatically added to Publons, you can then choose whether or not to claim your Publons credit. I understand this statement.

Yes Choose an item.